

Don't repair that island! It ain't broke.

Klaus Abels  
UCL  
k.abels@ucl.ac.uk

November 18, 2011

## Introduction

- (1) Ever since J. R. Ross, 1969, island repair under sluicing has been used to argue that island constraints are global derivational constraints or must be understood representationally:
  - a. “What this means is the following: the constraints on chopping rules proposed in J. Ross, 1967 cannot be locally defined — rather, they must be derivational constraints, in the sense of Lakoff, 1969” (J. R. Ross, 1969, p. 276).
  - b. “[I]t is assumed that there is a designated symbol # which cannot appear internally to a well-formed surface structure” (Chomsky, 1972, p. 71. Because the island bearing # is deleted under sluicing, relevant derivations are “not ‘filtered out’ as deviant by the output condition that # cannot appear internally in a surface structure. [...] The point is of some interest...” (Chomsky, 1972, p. 72).
- (2) The strongest syntactic arguments for hidden structure at ellipsis sites comes from constraints imposed by such structure which are hard to capture without assuming the presence of such structure.
  - a. case-matching
  - b. preposition (non-)stranding
  - c. syntactic islands

## 1 Stripping

- (3) Case

Er ist des Albanischen mächtig, und auch {des  
 he is the Albanian powerful and also the.GEN  
 Griechischen | \*das Griechische | \*dem Griechischen}  
 Greek the.ACC Greek the.DAT Greek  
 He masters Albanian and also Greek. German

(4) Preposition (non-)stranding see Fukaya, 2007<sup>1</sup>

- a. Ich habe gestern mit Anna geredet und mit Sakis (auch).  
 I have yesterday with Anna spoken and with Sakis (too)  
 I spoke with Anna yesterday and with Sakis, too. German
- b. Ich habe gestern mit Anna geredet und Sakis (auch).  
 I have yesterday with Anna spoken and Sakis (too)  
 I spoke with Anna yesterday, and Sakis did, too.
- c. I talked with Anna yesterday, and (with) Sakis. German
- d. Milisa me ton Saki xthes, kai \*(me) tin Anna. English  
 I.spoke with the Sakis yesterday and with the Anna  
 I spoke with Sakis yesterday, and with Sakis, too. Greek  
 Merchant, 2003
- e. Milisa me ton Saki kai tin Anna xthes.  
 I.spoke with the Saki and the Anna yesterday.  
 I spoke with Sakis yesterday and Anna did, too Greek  
 Merchant, 2003
- f. Juan escribe para Clarín y \*(para) La Nación también  
 Juan writes for Clarin and for The Nation too  
 Juan writes for Clarin and for La Nación as well. Spanish  
 Depiante, 2000

(5) Long movement<sup>2</sup>

- a. Lucie will admit that she stole the diamonds if you press her, but  
 not the car. Reinhart, 1993
- b. Ingrid gibt bestimmt zu, dass sie das Auto gestohlen  
 Ingrid gives undoubtedly to that she the.ACC car stolen  
 hat, wenn du sie etwas unter Druck setzt, aber  
 has, if you her somewhat under pressure sets, but  
 den Diamanten niemals.  
 the.ACC diamond never  
 Ingrid will admit that she stole the car if you press her, but no  
 the diamond German

<sup>1</sup>Nakao, 2009 also discusses many of these issues. Nakao's Japanese paradigms are less well controlled than Fukaya's, whose thesis, strangely enough, is not cited at all.

<sup>2</sup>For relevant discussion of locality issues in stripping see also Fukaya, 2007; Merchant, in press and for fragment answers Merchant, 2004; Temmerman, to appear.

- (6) Complex NP/subject condition
- a. \*The fact that some politician has resigned got much publicity,  
but not the defense minister. Depiante, 2000
- b. Die Tatsache, dass von Guttenberg abgeschrieben hat, hat die  
the fact that von Guttenberg plagiarized has has the  
Gemüter erregt, aber Koch-Mehrin nicht.  
souls stirred but Koch-Mehrin not  
= The fact that von Guttenberg plagiarized has caused a big  
stir, but Koch-Mehrin hasn't caused a big stir.  
≠ The fact that von Guttenberg plagiarized has caused a big  
stir, but the fact that Koch-Mehring plagiarized hasn't caused  
a big stir. German
- (7) Complex NP (relative clause)
- a. I met a boy who ate an apple and also an orange. Nakao, 2009,  
p. 99  
= I met a boy who ate both an apple and an orange.  
≠ I met a boy who ate an apple and I also met a (different)  
boy who ate an orange
- b. Ich habe einen Jungen, der einen Apfel gegessen hat,  
I have a boy who an.ACC apple eaten has  
getroffen, und eine Apfelsine auch.  
met and an.ACC orange too  
= one boy eats both  
≠ two boys eat one each German
- (8) Adjunct condition
- a. John left because you played this song, but not *that song* Nakao,  
2009, p. 99  
≠ He left because you played this song; the reason for his  
leaving was not that you played that other song, which you  
did.  
= The reason for his leaving was that you played this song  
and failed to play that other song.
- b. Hans ist gegangen, weil du Yesterday gespielt hast, aber  
Hans is left because you Yesterday played has but  
Iron Man nicht.  
Iron Man not  
≠ Hans left because you played Yesterday. The reason for his  
leaving was not that you played Iron Man, which you did.

= The reason for Hans leaving was that you played Yesterday and failed to play Iron Man.

## 2 VP ellipsis

I consider only English data here. Relevant examples illustrating case connectivity and prepositional pied-piping can be found in the literature on remnant VP ellipsis (e.g., Goldberg, 2005). Unfortunately, the possibility of A-moving from elided VPs in Dutch *Modal Complement Ellipsis* is severely restricted (Abels, 2012; Aelbrecht, 2010).

- (9) a. Short movement Fox and Lasnik, 2003; Merchant, 2008; Park, 2004; Shuyler, 2002  
       I know what I like and what I don't. Shuyler, 2002  
 b. Long movement based on Fiengo and May, 1994, 229, fn. 31  
       ? I know which book MAX thinks Mary wants, and which  
       book BILL does. tracecolorthink Mary wants
- (10) Complex NP (relative clause)  
 \* Abby {wants | does want} to hire someone who speaks {GREEK | a certain Balkan language}, but I don't remember what (kind of) language she DOESN'T. tracecolorwant to hire anybody who speaks
- (11) Adjunct condition  
 a. \*BEN left the party because Carlene/some guest insulted him, but God only knows which guest ABBY did. tracecolorleave the party because insulted her  
 b. \*John will leave if more people come to the party than you will. tracecolorleave if come to the party

## 3 Contrast Sluicing

- (12) Case  
 Hans hat der Frau geholfen, aber ich weiss nicht,  
 Hans.NOM has the.DAT woman helped but I know not  
 {wer | wem | \*wen} noch.  
 who.NOM who.DAT who.ACC else  
 who.NOM Hans helped the woman but I don't know who else helped her.

who.DAT Hans helped the woman but I don't know who else he helped. German

(13) Preposition Stranding

- a. John talked with the woman but I don't know who else.  
 ... John talked with  
 ... talked with the woman
- b. Hans hat mit der Frau geredet, aber ich weiss nicht  
 Hans has with the.DAT woman talked but I know not  
 \*(mit) wem noch.  
 with who.DAT else  
 Hans talked with the woman, but I don't know with who else  
 he talked. German

(14) Long movement

Veronika glaubt, dass Hans seine Schwester  
 Veronika believes that Hans his.ACC.F.SG sister  
 umgebracht hat, aber ich weiss nicht, wen noch.  
 killed has, but I know not who.ACC else  
 Veronika believes that Hans killed his sister, but I don't know  
 who else Veronika believes that Hans killed. German

(15) Complex NP/subject condition

- a. \*The fact that von Guttenberg plagiarized has caused a big stir,  
 but I don't know who else.
- b. \*Die Behauptung, dass von Guttenberg abgeschrieben hat, hat  
 the claim that von Guttenberg plagiarized has has  
 die Gemüter erregt, aber ich weiss nicht wer noch.  
 the souls stirred but I know not who.NOM else

German

(16) Complex NP/relative clause<sup>3</sup>

- a. I met a boy who was eating an apple, but I won't tell you what  
 else.  
 = ... he was eating.  
 ≠ ... for what other thing x I met a (different) boy who was eating  
 x.
- b. Ich habe einen Jungen getroffen, der einen Apfel gegessen  
 I have a boy met who an.ACC apple eaten

---

<sup>3</sup>For careful and particularly insightful discussion see Fukaya, 2007.

- hat, aber ich sage dir nicht, was noch.  
 has but I tell you not what.ACC else  
 = one boy eats both things  
 ≠ two boys eat one thing each German
- (17) Adjunct Condition
- a. John went to the headmaster after he had had a fight with Mr Miller, but I don't know with who else.  
 = ... he had had a fight with (on that occasion).  
 ≠ ... but I don't know who else is such that there is some (other) occasion where John went to the headmaster after he had had a fight with that other person.
- b. Hans ist zum Direktor gegangen, nachdem er sich  
 Hans is to.the.DAT headmaster gone after he self  
 mit Frau Paraskewas gestritten hatte, aber ich weiss nicht  
 with Mrs Paraskewas fight had, but I know not  
 mit wem noch.  
 with who.DAT else  
 = one trip to the headmaster, one fight with several people  
 ≠ two trips to the headmaster, two fights with one person each

## 4 Sluicing

- (18) Case J. R. Ross, 1969, 253, ex. 4 for much cross-linguistic data see Merchant, 2001
- Er will jemandem schmeicheln, aber sie wissen nicht  
 He wants somebody.DAT flatter but they know not  
 {\*wen | wem}.  
 who.ACC who.DAT  
 He wants to flatter somebody, but they don't know who. German
- (19) Preposition (non-)stranding see Merchant, 2001 for cross-linguistic data
- a. English  
 (i) Peter was talking with someone, but I don't know (with) who.  
 (ii) Who was Peter talking with?
- b. German  
 (i) Peter hat mit jemandem geredet, aber ich weiss  
 Peter has with someone.DAT talked but I know

- nicht \*(mit) wem.  
 not with who.DAT  
 (ii) \*Wem hat Peter mit geredet?  
 who.DAT has Peter with talked

- (20) A number of empirical challenges have been raised for Merchant's generalization that all and only those languages that allow P-stranding under *wh*-movement allow P-stranding under sluicing:
- a. Serbo Croatian (Stjepanović, 2008)
  - b. Polish (Szczegielniak, 2005, 2008)
  - c. Brazilian Portuguese (Almeida and Yoshida, 2007; Rodrigues, Nevins, and Vicente, 2009)
  - d. Spanish (Rodrigues, Nevins, and Vicente, 2009; Vicente, 2006)
  - e. Indonesian (Fortin, 2007; Sato, 2007, 2010)
  - f. Amis (Wei, 2011)
  - g. English (Fortin, 2007; Nykiel and Sag, 2009)
- (21) Complex NP (relative clause)<sup>4</sup>
- a. They wanted to hire someone who speaks a Balkan language, but I don't remember which.
  - b. Sie wollten jemanden anstellen, der einer (bestimmten) Balkansprache mächtig ist, aber ich weiss nicht mehr welcher (Balkansprache).  
 They wanted somebody hire who a.DAT certain Balkan language powerful is but I know not longer which.DAT Balkan language
- (22) Complex NP (complement clause)
- a. The administration issued a statement that it is willing to meet with one of the student groups, but I'm not sure which one.
  - b. Die Verwaltung hat das Gerücht bestätigt, dass sie mit einer Gewerkschaft verhandeln wird, aber ich bin nicht sicher mit welcher.  
 the administration has the rumor confirmed that it with one union negotiate will, but I am not sure with which
- (23) Clausal subject
- a. That certain countries would vote against the resolution has been widely reported, but I'm not sure which ones.

---

<sup>4</sup>Unless mentioned otherwise, the English examples are from Merchant, 2001.

- b. Dass der Text der Resolution bestimmten Ländern nicht gefällt, ist oft behauptet worden, aber ich bin nicht sicher welchen Ländern.  
 that the text the.GEN resolution certain.DAT countries not pleases is often claimed been but I am not sure which.DAT countries  
 That the text of the resolution would not please certain countries has often been claimed, but I am not sure which countries.

(24) Adjunct condition

- a. Ben will be mad if Abby talks to one of the teachers, but she couldn't remember which.  
 b. Ben wird sich ärgern, wenn Andrea mit einem der Lehrer spricht, aber sie konnte sich nicht erinnern mit welchem (Lehrer).  
 Ben will self anger when Andrea with one the teachers talks but she could self not remember with which teacher  
 c. Ben left the party because one of the guests insulted him, but he wouldn't tell me which.  
 d. Ben ist abgehauen, weil er mit einem der Gäste Streit hatte, aber er wollte mir nicht verraten mit welchem.  
 had but he wanted me not tell with which

(25) Coordinate Structure Constraint

- a. ?They persuaded Kennedy and some other Senator to jointly sponsor the legislation, but I can't remember which one.  
 b. Sie haben Arnim und einen Syntaktiker dazu überredet, gemeinsam einen Sammelband herauszubringen, aber ich weiss nicht mehr welchen Syntaktiker.  
 they have Arnim and a syntactician there.to convinced together a collection to.edit, but I know not longer which syntactician  
 They convinced Arnim and a syntactician to edit a volume together, but I can't remember which syntactician.  
 c. Bob ate dinner and saw a movie that night, but he didn't say which (movie).  
 d. Fritz hat gestern Abend Chips gegessen und einen Film  
 Fritz has yesterday evening chips eaten and a film

geguckt, aber ich weiss nicht mehr welchen Film.  
 watched, but I know not longer which film

- (26) The Polish, Brazilian Portuguese, and Spanish cases have been analyzed away in terms of a pseudo-slucing source, i.e., a source involving an underlying copular structure.
- a. There is a cautionary tale here about the possible sources for sluices, which may differ on a case-by-case basis (Craenenbroeck, 2010).
  - b. These analyses are problematic for approaches to identity under ellipsis which require very detailed syntactic identity (e.g. Fox and Lasnik, 2003 – for further comments see also Potsdam, 2007; Wei, 2011).
- ⇒ To rule out pseudo-slucing as the source, all crucial examples here will be given in German with case morphology that is incompatible with pseudo-slucing sources.

## Reflection

- (27) The vast majority of English data on island amelioration show nothing or serve to increase our suspicions about island-amelioration under sluicing.
- (28)
- a. Adjuncts and implicit arguments probably do not participate in island amelioration (possibly because of scope)
  - b. prosody irrelevant
  - c. aggressive non-D-linking irrelevant
  - d. *mention some* modification no relevant data (probably no island amelioration because of scope)
  - e. *all* modification irrelevant
  - f. *else* modification no island amelioration, see above
  - g. SWIPING (... who with) no relevant data available, possibly limited to sprouting, for which see (a) above
  - h. limited cleft strategy not relevant for English, except see (a)
  - i. case matching not relevant for English
  - j. Left Branch Extraction does not repair islands (Grebnyova, 2005)

## 5 Island effects under sluicing

### German

- (29) Jeder Spieler schreibt einen Satz auf, aber er verrät  
Every player writes one.ACC sentence up but he discloses  
den anderen nicht welchen.  
the.DAT others not which.ACC  
Every player writes down a sentence, but doesn't tell the others  
which.
- (30) Complex NP (relative clause)  
\*Jeder Spieler schreibt alle Konsonanten auf, die in einem  
every player writes all consonants up that in a  
(bestimmten) Wort enthalten sind, aber verrät den anderen  
particular word contained are but discloses the others  
nicht in welchem.  
not in which  
Every player writes down all consonants that some word con-  
tains, but doesn't tell the others which word.<sup>5</sup>
- (31) Complex NP (complement clause)  
\*Die Tatsache, dass einem Politiker sein Dokortitel entzogen  
The fact that a.DAT politician his doctor title revoked  
worden ist, hat die Gemüter stark erregt, aber ich weiss  
become is has the souls strongly stirred but I know  
nicht mehr welchem (Politiker).  
not more which.DAT politician  
The fact that a (certain) politician had his doctorate revoked  
caused a big stir, but I don't remember which politician.<sup>6</sup>

---

<sup>5</sup>The example is based on Reinhart's (i), who gives it as good. My own informants do not agree.

- (i) Reinhart, 1997, 346 ex. 223
- a. Each player must write down all the consonants that some word contains, when properly pronounced, and let the others guess which word.
  - b. Each player x must...let the others guess for which word y, [x wrote down all the consonants y contains when properly pronounced].

<sup>6</sup>This spring several German politicians had their doctorates revoked because of plagiarism. Only for one, the then minister of defense, was there a big public scandal as a

- (32) Subject island
- a. \*Dass einem Politiker der Dokortitel entzogen worden ist,  
 that a.DAT politician the doctor title revoked become is  
 hat die Gemüter stark erregt, aber ich weiss nicht mehr  
 has the souls strongly stirred but I know not longer  
 welchem (Politiker).  
 which.DAT politician  
 That a (certain) politician had his doctorate revoked caused a  
 big stir, but I don't remember which politician.
- (33) Adjunct condition
- Situation: *Many people have quarreled with many guests. Many people left as a result of these quarrels. But there is one guest who quarreled with many people but these quarrels caused almost nobody to leave. Silke was unwilling to disclose the identity of that special guest.*
- #Fast niemand ist abgehauen, weil er mit einem  
 almost nobody is left because he with one  
 {der Gäste | bestimmten Gast} gestritten hat, aber Silke  
 the.gen guests particular guest quarreled has, but Silke  
 wollte nicht verraten mit welchem (Gast)  
 wanted not tell with which guest  
 Almost nobody left because he quarreled with one {of the guests|  
 particular guest}, but Silke didn't want to disclose which guest.

## Spanish

- (34) Complex NP (relative clause)
- \*Cada jugador tiene que escribir todas las consonantes que  
 every player must that write all the consonants that  
 tenga alguna palabra, y dejar que los otros averigüen  
 holds some word and let that the others guess  
 qué palabra  
 which word  
 Every player must write down all consonants that some word  
 contains and let the others guess which word.
- (35) Complex NP (complement clause)/Subject Condition
- El hecho de que le quitaran el carnet de conducir a  
 the fact of that to.him revoked the license of driving to  
 result.

un parlamentario causó un gran escándalo, pero no me  
a MP caused a big scandal, but not me  
acuerdo {a cuál | a quién}.  
remember to which to who  
The fact that the drivers license of a member of parliament  
was revoked caused a big scandal, but I don't remember {which  
(politician) |who}.

= I don't remember {whose | which MPs} driving license was re-  
voked

≠ I don't remember for which MP the revocation of that MP's  
driving license caused a scandal (while I do remember which  
MPs had their licenses revoked).

(36) Adjunct condition

Casi nadie se marchó porque discutiera con cierto  
almost nobody self left because discussed with certain  
invitado, pero José no me quiso decir {con quién | con  
guest but José not me wanted say with who with  
cuál}.

which

Almost nobody left because he had a fight with a particular  
guest, but José didn't want to tell me with which.

? in context where many people fought with many people at the  
party and many people left as a result, as well. But there was  
one guest who fought with many other people but these fights  
didn't not cause the guests to leave.

! The sentence is judged better in this context than expected. I  
don't know why.

## Other languages

(37) Elicitation for other languages is ongoing...

## 6 Towards an analysis

(38) The literature on P-stranding under sluicing suggests that pseudo  
sluicing is an option, but only as a last resort (Craenenbroeck, 2010).  
The conditions under which case parallelism is obviated in this con-  
text remain mysterious.

(39) Short sources are sometimes required as well.

- (40) a. I confirmed the rumor that Mary married someone important, but I haven't been able to find out who.  
 b. \*I confirmed the rumor that Mary married someone important, but I haven't been able to find out who I confirmed the rumor that Mary married.  
 c. I confirmed the rumor that Mary married someone important, but I haven't been able to find out who she married.
- (41) a. Maryi met a mank who had worked for someone famous, but hek wouldn't tell heri who.  
 b. \*Mary met a man who had worked for someone famous, but he wouldn't tell her who she met a man who had worked for.  
 c. Mary met a man who had worked for someone famous, but he wouldn't tell her who he had worked for.
- (42) Note the mismatch between the antecedent, which contains the trace of a relative operator in subject position and the presumed short sluice, where there is a pronoun.
- (43) Changes in the I-domain must be licit under sluicing.
- (44) A Amuse me!  
 B With what?  
 What with?  
 = What should I amuse you with? G. Thoms (p. c.)
- (45) Bill seems to be doing away with someone, but he won't say {who | \*with whom}  
 a. Bill won't say who he is doing away with.  
 b. #Bill won't say who he seems to be doing away with. J. R. Ross, 1969, 275, ex. 67–68
- (46) Bill mentioned his plans to do away with someone, but he didn't mention {who | with whom}  
 Bill didn't mention who he plans to do away with J. R. Ross, 1969, 275–6, ex. 69–70
- (47) Together these considerations allow short construals  
 We need them for the *else* cases anyway.
- (48) Sie hat einen Jungen getroffen, der einen Apfel gegessen hat, aber she has a boy met, who an apple eaten has, but ich weiß nicht, was noch.  
 I know not what else  
 She met a boy who was eating an apple, but I don't know what else (he was eating).

- (49) Short construals with changes of modal are a powerful device:
- (50) Complex NP (Complementclause)
- Die Firmenleitung hat das Gerücht bestritten, dass sie mit  
 the management has the rumor denied that it with  
 der IG Metall verhandeln wird, aber ich  
 the metallurgical trade union will, but I know  
 weiss nicht mit welcher anderen Gewerkschaft.  
 not with which other union  
 Management has denied the rumor that it will negotiate with  
 the metallurgical union, but I dont know what other union.  
 = #... with which other union management will negotiate.  
 = ... with which other union management will negotiate with  
 according to this rumor.
- ≠ ... I dont know for what other union management denied  
 the rumor that it will negotiate with that union.
- (51) German actually has a modal, *sollen*, which can be used in the second  
 paraphrase and which obviates the need for an explicit *according to  
 the rumor*
- ... mit welcher Gewerkschaft (sie noch verhandeln soll).  
 ... with which union (she also negotiate should
- (52) Claim: When pseudo sluicing and modal subordination can be ruled  
 out, island repair goes away.  
 Pseudo sluicing is ruled out because of case and PP-pied piping.  
 Short construals are ruled out semantically.
- (53) Complex NP (relative clause)
- \*Jeder Spieler schreibt alle Konsonanten auf, die in einem  
 every player writes all consonants up that in a  
 (bestimmten) Wort enthalten sind, aber verrät den anderen  
 particular word contained are but discloses the others  
 nicht in welchem.  
 not in which  
 Every player writes down all consonants that some word con-  
 tains, but doesn't tell the others which word.
- a. ... in which they MODAL? are contained.  
 For speakers who do accept this, there is a bit of wiggle room  
 by using the contextual restriction on *which word*
- (54) Complex NP (complement clause)

\*Die Tatsache, dass einem Politiker sein Dokortitel entzogen  
 The fact that a.DAT politician his doctor title revoked  
 worden ist, hat die Gemüter stark erregt, aber ich weiss  
 become is has the souls strongly stirred but I know  
 nicht mehr welchem (Politiker).  
 not more which.DAT politician  
 The fact that a (certain) politician had his doctorate revoked  
 caused a big stir, but I don't remember which politician.

a. ... which politician MODAL? had his doctorate removed.

(55) Adjunct condition

Situation: *Many people have quarreled with many guests. Many people left as a result of these quarrels. But there is one guest who quarreled with many people but these quarrels caused almost nobody to leave. Silke was unwilling to disclose the identity of that special guest.*

#Fast niemand ist abgehauen, weil er mit einem  
 almost nobody is left because he with one  
 {der Gäste | bestimmten Gast} gestritten hat, aber Silke  
 the.gen guests particular guest quarreled has, but Silke  
 wollte nicht verraten mit welchem (Gast)  
 wanted not tell with which guest  
 Almost nobody left because he quarreled with one {of the guests|  
 particular guest}, but Silke didn't want to disclose which guest.

a. ... with which guest he/they/almost nobody (MAY) have quarreled.

## 7 Conclusions

(56) There are some further sources of gradience.  
 Degree of similarity (Arregui et al., 2006; Kim et al., 2011), whereby ratings become worse as similarity decreases.  
 Local ambiguity as a source of illusory acceptability (Fanselow and Frisch, 2006).

(57) a. ich oder er {\*bin | \*ist | \*sind | könnte} an der Reihe sein.  
 I or he am is are could at the row be  
 It is/could be my or his turn

(58) I suspect that the systematic surface ambiguity of island-violating sluices in English with a pseudo sluicing source leads to a considerable amount of phantom-goodness.

Again compare Fanselow and Frisch, 2006:

- (59) a. Wem glaubst du, dass er geholfen hat?  
who.DAT believe you that he helped has  
Who do you believe he helped.  
b. ?Wen glaubst du, dass er gesehen hat?  
who.ACC believe you that he seen has  
Who do you believe that he has seen.
- (60) a. Wem glaubst du?  
who.DAT believe you  
Who do you believe?  
b. \*Wen glaubst du?  
who.ACC believe you

## References

- Abels, Klaus (2012). *Phases: An essay on cyclicity in syntax*. Linguistische Arbeiten. Berlin: de Gruyter.
- Aelbrecht, Lobke (2010). *The Syntactic Licensing of Ellipsis*. Ed. by Werner Abraham and Elly van Gelderen. Vol. 149. LA. Amsterdam and Philadelphia: John Benjamins Publishing Company.
- Almeida, Diogo and Masaya Yoshida (2007). “A problem for the preposition stranding generalization”. In: *Linguistic Inquiry* 38.349–362.
- Arregui, Carlos et al. (2006). “Processing elided verb phrases with flawed antecedents: The recycling hypothesis”. In: *Journal of Memory and Language* 55.2, pp. 232–246.
- Chomsky, Noam (1972). “Some Empirical Issues in the Theory of Transformational Grammar”. In: *The Goals of Linguistic Theory*. Ed. by Stanley Peters. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, pp. 63–130.
- Craenenbroeck, Jeroen van (2010). “Invisible Last Resort: A note on clefts as the underlying source for sluicing”. In: *Lingua* 120.7, pp. 1714–1726.
- Depiante, Marcela (2000). “The syntax of deep and surface anaphora: a study of null complement anaphora and stripping/bare argument ellipsis”. PhD thesis. Storrs, CT.: Univeristy of Connecticut.
- Fanselow, Gisbert and Stefan Frisch (2006). “Effects of Processing Difficulty on Judgements of Acceptability”. In: *Gradience in Grammar: Generative Perspectives*. Ed. by Gisbert Fanselow et al. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 291–316.
- Fiengo, Robert and Robert May (1994). *Indices and identity*. Cambridge, MA.: MIT Press.

- Fortin, Catherine (2007). “Indonesian sluicing and verb phrase ellipsis”. Ph.D. Dissertation. University of Michigan.
- Fox, Danny and Howard Lasnik (2003). “Successive cyclic movement and island repair: The difference between Sluicing and VP Ellipsis”. In: *Linguistic Inquiry* 34.1, pp. 143–154.
- Fukaya, Teruhiko (2007). “Sluicing and Stripping in Japanese and Some Implications”. PhD thesis. Los Angeles, CA: University of Southern California.
- Goldberg, Lotus Madelyn (2005). “Verb-Stranding VP Ellipsis: A Cross-Linguistic Study”. PhD thesis. Montreal: McGill University.
- Grebenyova, Lydia (2005). “Sluicing and Left-branch Extraction out of Islands”. In: *Proceedings of the 24th West Coast Conference on Formal Linguistics*. Ed. by John Alderete, pp. 164–172.
- Kim, Christina S et al. (2011). “The Acceptability Cline in VP Ellipsis”. In: *Syntax* 14 (4), pp. 318–354.
- Lakoff, George (1969). “On derivational constraints”. In: *Papers from the Fifth Regional Meeting of the Chicago Linguistic Society*. Ed. by Robert I. Binnick et al. Chicago: Chicago Linguistic Society, University of Chicago.
- Merchant, Jason (2001). *The Syntax of Silence: Sluicing, Islands, and the Theory of Ellipsis*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- (2003). “Remarks on stripping”. Ms. University of Chicago.
- (2004). “A deletion solution to the sloppy ellipsis puzzle”. In: *Linguistic Society of America 75th annual meeting*. Boston, MA.
- (2008). “Variable Island Repair under Ellipsis”. In: *Topics in Ellipsis*. Ed. by Kyle Johnson. Cambridge, United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press, pp. 132–153.
- (in press). “Ellipsis”. In: *Syntax - An international handbook of contemporary research*. Ed. by Artemis Alexiadou and Tibor Kiss. 2nd ed. Berlin: de Gruyter.
- Nakao, Chizuru (2009). “Island repair and non repair by PF strategies”. PhD thesis. College Park, MD: University of Maryland.
- Nykiel, Joanna and Ivan A. Sag (2009). “Sluicing and stranding”. In: *Paper presented at the 83rd LSA Meeting*. San Francisco, CA.
- Park, Myung-Kwan (2004). “Phase, Cyclicity and Extraction out of Ellipsis in English”. In: *Studies in Generative Grammar* 14.4, pp. 469–496.
- Potsdam, Eric (2007). “Malagasy sluicing and its consequences for the identity requirement on ellipsis”. In: *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory* 25, pp. 577–613.
- Reinhart, Tanya (1993). “Elliptic Conjunctions – Non-Quantificational LF”. In: *The Chomskyan Turn*. Ed. by Asa Kasher. Cambridge, MA: Blackwell Publishers, pp. 360–384.

- Reinhart, Tanya (1997). “Quantifier scope: How labor is divided between QR and choice functions”. In: *Linguistics and Philosophy* 20, pp. 335–397.
- Rodrigues, Cilene, Andrew Ira Nevins, and Luis Vicente (2009). “Cleaving the interactions between sluicing and preposition stranding”. In: *Romance Languages and Linguistic Theory 2006: selected papers from “Going Romance,” Amsterdam, 7–9 december 2006*. Ed. by L. Wetzels and Jeroen van der Weijer. John Benjamins, pp. 175–198.
- Ross, John (1967). “Constraints on variables in syntax”. PhD thesis. Massachusetts Institute of Technology.
- Ross, John Robert (1969). “Guess who?” In: *Papers from the Fifth Regional Meeting of the Chicago Linguistic Society*. Ed. by Robert I. Binnick et al. Chicago: Chicago Linguistic Society, University of Chicago, pp. 252–286.
- Sato, Yosuke (2007). “P-stranding generalization and Bahasa Indonesia: a myth?” In: *Snippets* 16, pp. 17–18.
- (2010). *Minimalist Interfaces: Evidence from Indonesian and Javanese*. Linguistik aktuell 155. Amsterdam: John Benjamins Publishing Company.
- Shuyler, Tamara (2002). “Wh-Movement out of the Site of VP Ellipsis”. MA thesis. Santa Cruz, CA: UCSC.
- Stjepanović, Sandra (2008). “P-Stranding under Sluicing in a Non-P-Stranding Language?” In: *Linguistic Inquiry* 39.1, pp. 179–190.
- Szczegielniak, Adam (2005). *All sluiced up, but no alleviation in sight...* ms. Boston College.
- (2008). “Islands in Sluicing in Polish”. In: *Proceedings of the 27th West Coast Conference on Formal Linguistics*. Ed. by Natasha Abner and Jason Bishop. Cascadia Proceedings Project, pp. 404–412.
- Temmerman, Tanja (to appear). “The syntax of Dutch embedded fragment answers: On the PF-theory of islands and the WH/sluicing correlation”. In: *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory*.
- Vicente, Luis (2006). *Exceptional cases of P-stranding under sluicing*. Ms. University of Leiden.
- Wei, Ting-chi (Jan. 2011). “Parallelism in Amis Sluicing”. In: *Concentric: Studies in Linguistics* 37.1, pp. 1–44.